

### Parental Leave...for Every Child

Canada's parental leave system is constructed primarily as an employment benefit for some parents. Where does that leave children?



Canada's parental leave system is exclusive in every sense. It privileges parents with secure jobs and higher wages, while excluding the children who stand to benefit the most. Universal access and adequate pay should be the primary goal of a reformed system, based on a caregiving benefit for every child. Every newborn in Canada should have the right to protected time with a parent or caregiver in the first 6 months (26 weeks) of life. The earnings replacement rate should be raised to a floor that is at minimum aligned with the average family income rate, and 100% for employed parents (with a ceiling) for the first 6 months of parental leave.

Canada's most recent, incremental improvements to parental leave policy have focused increasing its duration and flexibility, and including different parent roles (including fathers/secondary parents and adoptive parents). There are now more possibilities for paid



job leave time for eligible workers. Still, eligibility restrictions associated with the Employment Insurance system to which parental leave is anchored exclude more than one-third of newborns, and benefit pay falls well below international best practice. Exclusive eligibility and an unaffordable pay rate tend to exclude lower-income, Indigenous and racialized parents and children from taking up this entitlement or taking it for the optimal duration. Yet children's risk of poverty in Canada is highest in the first years of life, and its impacts the most damaging. On the other hand, parental leave has a wide range of positive impacts that help get infants off to the best start.

A child-centered parental leave policy starts with the child's rights and best interests protected time with adequate income for parents and caregivers of every child—rather than the unequal entitlements of adults or workers.

#### AN UNFAIR START

Children's experiences and exposures during their early years play a critical role in shaping their chances for long-term health and well-being. Infancy is a particularly vulnerable period. Public policy in this formative period should provide time. resources and services for parents to care for their infants. Parental leave is a fundamental policy in a trifecta of early years "family-friendly" policies1 (including child-focused income benefits and child care) that each make distinct contributions to get children off to the best start and open the way to the brightest futures.

Canada has a complex parental leave system that includes several distinct elements: paid leave through employmentbased benefits (the Québec Provincial Insurance Program [QPIP] and Employment Insurance [EI] for the rest of Canada); employer-sponsored wage-

In UNICEF's 2019 Report Card, Canada ranked 28th among rich countries for its investment in "family-friendly policies," spending close to one-third less than the average country in 2015.2 Since then, Canada has made strides to increase childfocused income benefits and expand a system of accessible, quality child care. However, Canada's investment in family

compensation benefits to top-up government programs; and employment standards laws that vary across 14 federal/provincial/territorial jurisdictions to establish job-protected, unpaid leave time after the birth or adoption of a child. Each of these parts of the system creates inequalities for children and parents, to different extents. It is the first of these parts of the system that is our focus, for its potential to provide the equitable conditions to which every child is entitled: caregiving relationships, freedom from poverty and optimal health and development.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Parental leave" in this brief includes paid maternity, paternity/second parent and parental time related to the birth of a child. The focus is Canada's federal benefit, unless Quebec's distinct system is specifically noted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> UNICEF Canada. 2020. Worlds Apart: Canadian Companion to UNICEF Report Card 16: UNICEF Canada, Toronto.



policies remains less than the 2% GDP average among rich countries in the OECD, coming in at 1.62% in 2018.<sup>3</sup>

The third of these fundamental "familyfriendly" social policies, parental leave, has been incrementally advanced to achieve a duration and leave-sharing provision that meet international good practice. Yet parental leave still falls far short of what every child needs, excluding more than one-third of children altogether and leaving many others at risk of poverty,4 mainly due to a combination of restricted eligibility and low rates of wage replacement. Public expenditure on maternity and parental leave in OECD countries ranges from USD 35 000 per child born in Norway to USD 400 per child born in Turkey, with an average USD 12 100 per child. Canada falls below the average, ranking 17th in 2015.

The International Labour Organization (ILO) Maternity Protection Convention 2000 (no. 183) standards include at least 14 weeks of paid maternity leave, and countries are recommended to universally provide at least 18 weeks (as per Recommendation 191). Canada has not yet ratified this Convention and is failing to universally assure these minimum, normative standards. Canada has established federal, provincial and territorial legal rights to unpaid parental leave, but paid leave coverage is limited to women in formal employment, and qualifying conditions exclude many—even some who have paid EI premiums.

El premiums.

3 OECD (2022), Family benefits public spending (indicator). doi: 10.1787/8e8b3273-en (Accessed on 31

August 2022).

In summary, due to shortcomings in the legal protection of, investment in, and policy design of parental leave, the ability of a newborn child to enjoy the full benefit of Canada's parental leave system is dependent on their parents' employment status and income. Therefore, it is limited and highly inequitable.

Before the pandemic, more than 30% of all Canadian mothers (and 60% of lowincome mothers) outside Quebec did not receive maternity and parental benefits. Put another way, more than onethird of infants are excluded. This compares to 10% in Quebec. Only about 74% of new mothers outside Québec have insurable employment under EI that makes them potentially eligible for paid leave. Many others work but do not meet eligibility rules. About 25% did not work enough hours to qualify to receive parental leave benefits. Some parents of children with disabilities and other complex needs cannot work because of their children's care needs and so they do not qualify. Many parents lose their careers the day that their medically complex child is born. Among mothers with insurable employment, 80% reported having received maternity or parental benefits in 2019. This compares to 97% in Quebec – a virtually universal system.

Looking at the equity fault lines of parental leave another way, access to parental leave benefits rises with income level. In 2019, only 50% of families with less than CAN 20 000 of annual

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Robson, J. (2017). Parental benefits in Canada: Which way forward. IRPP Study.



income received parental leave benefits under EI, compared to more than 80% of families with an income above CAN 60 000. In Quebec, 42% more low-income families receive benefits.<sup>5</sup>

More than just eligibility, living in a household with an income above CAN 60 000 has a positive effect on the takeup of parental benefits among those eligible for it. Short leave-takers (returning to work five months or less after childbirth) had an annual income below CAN 16 000 and a family income below CAN 40 000, in spite of a Family Supplement for very lowincome earners that raises the wage replacement rate from 55% to 80%. A common reason for not taking leave (or taking short leave) is the low wage replacement rate, which simply makes it unaffordable for many families. The benefits of paid parental leave can only be realized if parents are both eligible for it and can afford to take up the leave in practice. Previous reforms in Canada that expanded eligibility for parental leave contributed to increases in uptake among lower-income households.

The primary policy differences between Quebec and the rest of Canada are a higher rate of maternity pay and fewer qualifying conditions. The inequalities in access to and take up of parental benefits mirror and reinforce the structure of income inequalities experienced more broadly in Canada. Because parental benefits are linked to labour force participation,

inequalities experienced in employment are reflected in and even strengthened by the take-up of parental leave. The equity gap in who actually benefits from leave benefits has led researchers to describe this as a distinction between "parental-leave-rich" and "parental-leave-poor" households. In effect, parental leave policy discriminates against children whose parents are unemployed, under-employed, precariously employed, workers in unregulated jobs, students, Indigenous teen mothers, and those who simply do not earn enough income to take leave at the program's wage replacement rate. Conversely, it privileges children whose parents are securely employed—many of whom have employers or unions who further supplement their wage replacement. It leaves out more of the children who need the most support.

Over time, employment-based benefits have excluded growing numbers of parents and contribute to growing inequality as the prevalence of secure work is changing, employees with more secure work have increasingly generous private employer benefits, and the COVID-19 pandemic and other shocks periodically disrupt employment. The pandemic has only emphasized the shortcomings of parental leave anchored to employment insurance. With the suspension or reduced delivery of care and educational services—child care, schooling, after-school care and summer camps—it has been women, especially mothers, who have borne most of the responsibilities for care. Mothers, especially

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> McKay, L., Mathieu, S. and Doucet, A. (2016). Parental leave-rich and parental leave-poor: Inequality in Canadian labour market based leave policies. *Journal of Industrial Relations* 58 (4): 543-562.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Mathieu, S., Doucet, A. and McKay, L. (2020). Parental leave benefits and inter-provincial differences: The case of four Canadian provinces. *Canadian Journal of Sociology* 45 (2): 169-194.



mothers of young children, left or reduced their paid work, and women's employment in Canada plummeted from a historic high to its lowest level in 30 years. Women were less able to work or to work the required hours that would enable their eligibility for EI based parental leave or EI for job loss.

On the other hand, adequate parental leave can prevent a fall into poverty, at precisely the point in a child's life when the prevalence of poverty in Canada is the highest and the most devastating. In high-income countries, each additional week of paid parental leave is associated with a 4.2% lower chance of single mothers living in poverty, and robust parental leave policies are associated with a lower risk of poverty among two-parent families.

Some infants start life in families with greater access to the time and money for parental caregiving, while others are raised in households with comparatively less economic support for parental care. Parental benefit regimes should not be a door through which infants are introduced to inequalities from birth.<sup>7</sup>

If an unfair policy leads to an unfair start, what happens when parental leave is considered as a benefit for children and their parents?

# A CHILD-CENTRED APPROACH TO PARENTAL LEAVE

overlooked, or only considered a side effect. Certainly, there is a wide range of effects associated with inclusive parental leave that align with many interlinked Canadian policy priorities, including poverty reduction and equity; economic advancement; gender equality; health; quality of life and well-being; and achieving the Sustainable Development Goals. But treating parental leave as a parent's or worker's right instead of a child's right helps explain the lack of inclusion of all children (including children of unemployed or underemployed parents, and surrogate and adoptive parents) and relatedly, the insufficient pay of current parental leave policy, particularly for low-income households. If more than 30% of mothers are not eligible for EI-based parental leave. at least 30% of infants are excluded from protected time with a caregiver supported by parental leave benefits.

Parental leave in Canada is perceived

best as government support for parents.

Parental leave policy design and debates

role of parental leave as one of the single most important policies for children is often

primarily as a benefit of employment and at

tend to focus on access by parents, instead

of which children are entitled to benefit. The

While all children have the right to be cared for by their parents, the disproportionate impact of low household income on child health and development suggests the current system is excluding precisely those children who stand to benefit the most from a robust parental leave benefit. Although disaggregated data regarding who accesses parental leave is not publicly

four Canadian provinces. *Canadian Journal of Sociology* 45 (2): 169-194.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Mathieu, S., Doucet, A. and McKay, L. (2020). Parental leave benefits and inter-provincial differences: The case of



available, the fact that Indigenous people and Black Canadians are more likely to live in low-income households and hold precarious employment makes it reasonable to assume that access to parental leave is restricted for children and families from those populations.

Across all employment insurance-based systems in Canada, twins have to share parental pay. Currently, children of couples without a birth parent (e.g., male same-sex couples, adoptive parents or parents who relied on surrogacy) receive fewer parental benefits than children cared for by their biological mothers. They may have up to 40 weeks with their new parents (using parental leave), while children cared for by a birth parent can have up to 55 weeks (parental leave plus maternity leave). This disparity has been upheld in parliamentary studies and in the courts because it has been considered based on the differential rights of parents rather than the equitable rights of children. Furthermore, while parental leave for fathers in Québec is an individual right, the EI-based parental sharing benefit is not. The eligibility of the father (or second caregiver) is dependent on the eligibility of the first caregiver, which can further limit access to parental leave benefits for a child and their family.

Gaps in the continuum of other childfocused policies do not fill the equity gaps in parental leave. Child-focused income benefits like the Canada Child Benefit (CCB) on their own do not currently eliminate the prevalence of child poverty, nor do they protect the time for infant and parent bonding. Infancy is also the time of life when child care is the most expensive and difficult to access. Yet in 2020, 20% of infants younger than one year relied some type of child care.<sup>8</sup>

Focusing on children in the design of parental leave would help limit inequalities in children's rights to be cared for and to optimal development in the first months of life.

#### TIME MATTERS

Parental leave time positively affects child health and broader well-being. During this time, critical things happen to help ensure a good start for infants. Parents have the necessary time to bond with their young children, whether born to them or adopted, and to meet children's critical health, nutritional and developmental needs. Protecting this time increases the rate and duration of breastfeeding; infant vaccination rates; and the frequency of family doctor check-ups. It is in turn associated with lower infant mortality and better early brain development.

The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends that infants be exclusively breastfed for 6 months, but in Canada only 34% breastfeed exclusively for 6 months, with lower rates among socially and economically vulnerable women. This rate

Statistics Canada Survey on Early Learning and Child Care Arrangements, 2020

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Statistics Canada. Health characteristics, two-year period estimates. Table 13-10-0113-01, release date

<sup>1022-04-19:</sup> 

https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/t1/tbl1/en/tv.action?pid=131 0011301 (Accessed April 26, 2022).



is far lower than the 2030 Sustainable Development Goals target of 70% to which Canada has pledged. A robust body of evidence highlights the universal importance of breastfeeding for the optimal health and long-term well-being of women and children. For instance, children who are breastfed have better immunity and reduced risk of acute and chronic illness. infection and unhealthy weight, and improved cognitive outcomes resulting in higher educational achievement and earning potential compared with nonbreastfed children. Breastfeeding also provides immuno-protection and increased food security that contribute to emergency preparedness for shocks like the COVID-19 pandemic and environmental disasters.

In Canada in 2020, 91% of all mothers initiated breastfeeding, but more than 50% stopped by 6 months. The workplace setting represents a substantial barrier to women who wish to breastfeed. Returning to paid work too soon after the birth of a child has a detrimental effect on breastfeeding initiation and duration. Similarly, difficulties due to parents' work schedules are commonly cited as a reason that parents have not vaccinated their children. A range of studies from highincome countries have found that paid leave increases rates of breastfeeding for at least the first 6 months of an infant's life. Breastfeeding rates are generally higher in countries that provide widespread access to parental leave. A study including Australia, UK and USA found that women with 6 months or more maternity leave were at least 30% more likely to maintain breastfeeding for at least the first 6 months.

Evidence suggests that paid parental leave can contribute to the prevention of family violence. For example, research in Australia found that access to paid maternity leave was associated with reduced intimate partner violence in the first year after birth, which is detrimental to the health of both mothers and children. A study of California's paid parental leave policy found that child maltreatment and physical abuse decreased after the introduction of the policy.

Not surprisingly, parental benefits also lower rates of maternal depression and stress. With protected time in the first 6 months, parents do not have to spend time in or looking for employment, or miss work due to parenting demands. They do not have to search for rare, costly child care services for infants. Dedicated second parent leave can increase the involvement of fathers in infant care. These effects have significant knock-on effects on both child and parent health. A recent Statistics Canada study found that Canadians on maternity, paternity or parental leave reported some of the highest levels of hopefulness about the future—a federal Quality of Life Indicator (83% compared to a population average of 64%).10



How does Canada compare to other wealthy countries?

(11-627-M).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> The infographic "Hopefulness in Canada" is available as part of the *Statistics Canada – Infographics* series



Breastfeeding at 6 and 12 months of age:

17th out of 20 countries

Low birthweight:

21st out of 41 countries

**Child poverty:** 

26th out of 41 countries

**Child mortality:** 

28th out of 41 countries

**Obesity:** 

29th out of 41 countries

Immunization:

33rd out of 41 countries

### **MONEY MATTERS**

In addition to access to protected parental leave time, money matters. Structural inequities in parental leave participation for families with different income levels are discussed above. The rate of parental leave pay matters, too. In a 2021 UNICEF report, Canada ranked 19th among rich countries for its parental leave, based on a combination of duration and pay. The gap between Canada and better performers is primarily explained by its lower rate of leave

pay, at a maximum 55% or 33% of previous weekly earnings for standard and extended parental leave, respectively (which averaged closer to 51% in practice in 2020). In fact, 29 OECD countries had a higher pay rate. Canada is falling farther and farther behind international standards in parental leave pay.

An indicator used by the European Commission in monitoring member states' progress in meeting Employment Guidelines (European Commission 2010 Indicators for monitoring the Employment Guidelines including indicators for additional employment analysis) establishes a minimum of 66% earnings replacement for parental leave. An international study of parental leave policies concluded that Canada is not among the countries providing 4 to 7 months of "well paid" post-natal leave, which includes a number of Western European countries, New Zealand and Brazil.<sup>11</sup>

The wage replacement rate is important because the length of leave a parent (and their infant) is entitled to really only matters if they have sufficient income to cover their household bills—at a time when those bills are particularly high for newborn needs. Given that the average mother collects CAN 20 000 while on leave, it is far from a windfall and closer to the poverty line. The low-income Family Supplement is an inclusive feature of both EI and QPIP; however, the total number of claimants receiving it has fallen each year since 2001-2002 largely because the eligibility threshold has been constant since

Policies and Related Research. International Network on Leave Policies and Research.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Blum, S., Koslowski, A., Macht, A., and Moss, P., eds. (2018). 14th International Review of Parental Leave



1997 (at a net annual income of less than CAN 25 921) while average family income has risen.

In this regard, Canada's parental leave system relies heavily on private spending supplemented by employers or unions (for some portion of the leave taken). A 2020 survey conducted by Statistics Canada found that 41.9% of Canadian workers had access to Supplemental Maternity, Paternity, Parental or Employment Insurance income as an employer-provided benefit. 12 Access to this supplemental income was far more prevalent among workers with permanent (continuing) jobs than among fixed contract, temporary, seasonal or on-call workers. Households with more than one working parent can coordinate their leaves to maximize income replacement. Single parents and those without private employment benefits are disadvantaged, and the low wage replacement rate precludes some from taking all or any of the leave they are entitled to.

"Parents are often forced to choose between continuing to work rather than taking parental leave, and living in poverty. For many families, the first year of a child's life is therefore a life in poverty."13

International research shows that because fathers remain the primary earners in many families and face workplace pressures over taking leave, incentivizing them to take

leave requires a wage replacement rate of at least 70%. Many other wealthy countries offer higher pay to increase uptake.

The difference in whether and to what extent parental leave is paid is important for child health impacts including breastfeeding. For instance, a crosssectional study from Brazil found that among mothers who were exclusively breastfeeding, 91% were on paid maternity leave, and these mothers had higher rates of exclusive breastfeeding when compared with mothers who were on unpaid leave or did not have a source of income while breastfeeding. Several studies from highincome countries have found that more generous paid maternal leave was associated with higher immunization rates.

**How does Canada compare to** other wealthy countries?

> Parental leave available to mothers:

19th out of 41 countries

Dedicated leave available to fathers and second parents:

16<sup>th</sup> out of 41 countries

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Statistics Canada (2020). Aspects of quality of employment in Canada, The Daily, February and March 2021. Available at: https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/daily-

quotidien/210322/dq210322a-eng.htm

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Transforming our systems: The 2022 Report of the National Advisory Committee on Poverty. Employment and Social Development Canada.



# MORE FLEXIBILITY FOR FAMILIES

Every child is different, every family is different, and they all have different needs. Canada should further increase flexibility in the parental leave available to every newborn child.

Families are more likely to take leave that provides them with flexibility to meet their distinct needs. Canada acknowledged as much when it introduced an option for extended parental leave in 2015. Instead of wage replacement at 55% over 55 weeks. parents can share up to 69 weeks of leave at 33% of previous earnings. This effectively allows new parents to receive the same amount of pay, but spread out over a longer period. As discussed above, the standard wage replacement rates act as a barrier to low-income households, and especially single parents, some of whom cannot float household expenses at the 55% replacement rate—not to mention taking up the option of longer leave time at even less pay.

An area where increased flexibility could be explored that would equitably increase child and family well-being is expanding prenatal access to additional benefits (in recognition of a growing awareness of the impact of work-related stress on infant gestation and health). The benefit collection period for maternity leave is currently up to 12 weeks in the prenatal period (up from 8 weeks), but this is subtracted from the time the child has with their parents following birth. Maternity leave

entitlement can be extended in some jurisdictions for up to 6 weeks if the child or the mother has health-related complications (e.g., in British Columbia this applies to the child if they have a physical, psychological or emotional condition that requires additional care). A distinct period of prenatal time and a universal period of extra time for parents of children with complex needs should be considered, in the best interests of the child.

The ability to "bank" a certain amount of

leave days over the childhood years as child and family needs change is another important policy consideration. For instance, children with disabilities and other special health and learning needs often need more parental time at specific periods throughout childhood. In some jurisdictions, leave may be taken part-time and its length increased accordingly, or part of the leave period may be deferred and used at any time until a child reaches a certain age. Quebec parents have a bank of 10 days within parental leave, which they can defer and use within the next 3 years without needing to obtain authorization from their employer. In Sweden, parenting leaves are taken in days rather than weeks, and leave time can be used in many different ways, in one or several blocks of time with full-time and part-time options, at any time until a child turns 12 (with the provision that only 96 days can be used after a child turns four). This type of flexibility is most likely to disproportionately benefit children and parents who are socioeconomically disadvantaged and in insecure employment, who are typically less able to take time from work for child

caring.



#### **DETAILED RECOMMENDATIONS**

While parents benefit from child caring leave, the primary beneficiaries are children, who rely on the quality of the nurturing they receive in their critical first months. An income replacement policy that excludes a third of infants and their parents by design has lost its relevance. Sustaining the anchor of parental leave in the EI system would be a path-dependent approach to change, and might be considered the path of least resistance. For example, adopting the QPIP model to increase access, with its lower barriers to eligibility and higher rate of pay, would require a range of fairly incremental administrative changes: among them a wider range of employment types; automatic enrolment; an individual eligibility for secondary caregivers; and elimination of the requirement of continuous attachment to one employer. Further El-based tweaks could include extending the reference period for eligibility when a parent is released from their employment just before or just after taking parental leave (e.g., from 52 to 104 weeks as for other reference period extensions in the *Employment* Insurance Act) and the possibility to extend combined benefits.

However, these improvements might rest on changes that apply to the whole EI system and necessitate complex administrative reforms. This approach would still perpetuate unnecessary equity fault lines: for instance, it requires prenatal attachment to work (which still excludes around one in ten mothers even in the QPIP system); a flat-rate earning requirement (currently CAN 2 000 in the QPIP) requires relatively more work-hours by lower wage employees; and parents earning higher wages receive greater benefits.

Canada has loaded a lot of social policy into our employment insurance policies. In an employment-based system that hinges on the precondition of labour attachment, leaves are a form of wage security that covers a limited group of potential participants. They will always leave some infants out. This is a flawed policy platform for achieving equitable government support for the work of infant care. As long as access to parental benefits remains tied to labour attachment, there is an ongoing risk of reproducing socio-economic inequalities for Canadians from birth. That is, some infants will receive state-regulated parental care while a significant proportion will not have the same privilege due to the employment status, location and income and therefore the age, gender and race of their parents.

If we start from a different place, a recognition that paid parental benefits should be for every infant and their primary caregivers, then parental leave must have a different kind of policy architecture. It must be designed as a care policy, for caregivers (parents) and care-receivers (infants and young children). Unlike those who use El benefits during unemployment, parents are typically not looking for paid work when they are on parental leave. They are caring for a newborn child. Ultimately, for a parental leave system to consider every child as equal, a universal *caregiving* 



benefit for new parents that offers time and money, regardless of previous work history, is required.

Parental benefits are also social protection policies whose design includes questions of who pays and who benefits. As an incomereplacement policy, parental leave is contrary to the design of other social policies. Currently, the annual payout of parental leave EI benefits is around CAN 4 billion – representing close to one-quarter of all EI benefits. Around 200,000 Canadians draw down parental benefits each year. This investment is considerably smaller than most other social protection policies including Old Age Security, but unlike other such programs, it disproportionately benefits the most advantaged children and families. That families who are relatively well-off receive relatively more money under Canada's parental leave system is inequitable and counterproductive to policy coherence. Canada's child-focused income benefits (including the CCB) and child care policies are generally designed to provide the most benefit to the most disadvantaged children. As a universal caregiving social policy. parental leave would be more akin to the design of the CCB.

Just as the CCB, and now child care, have taken a central place in the federal government's social policy agenda, so too should the third of the "family-friendly" policy trifecta: parental leave policies. Now is the time to depart from path dependency in the advancement of parental benefits. In the 2019 federal election campaign, the Liberal party promised "ambitious" reforms to parental leave. This was to be partly

achieved through a Guaranteed Paid Family Leave Program that would integrate two policies—the CCB and parental benefits. The intent was to ensure parents who do not qualify for paid leave through EI would (we assume in combination with the CCB) "receive a guaranteed income during the first year of their child's life." During and since the 2019 federal election, every major party platform included a commitment to consider further reforms to parental leave. Other federal and provincial political parties have variously expressed support to address shortcomings in inclusiveness and pay; allow pregnant women and new mothers currently on EI to receive their full maternity leave benefits regardless of the number of insurable hours worked; introduce distinct prenatal benefits; and offer compressed leave time at higher pay. It's time to make good on the promise to design parental leave policies that work for diverse families—for every child—in a changing society.

UNICEF Canada recommends that every child have the entitlement of no less than 6 months (26 weeks) of protected time with a parent or primary caregiver from birth, regardless of employment status. This should be available with a universal floor of non-taxable pay equivalent to the national average earnings. The first 15 weeks would be dedicated to mothers normally eligible for maternity leave, and the remainder could be shared with a second caregiver. The duration should not be compressed to less than 26 weeks and the pay should not fall below the poverty line.



A mixed system could also offer a condensed benefit for eligible recipients in the EI system, where a higher replacement rate is paid out over a shorter period. For example, the current program could provide the option of 6 months of leave at 100% wage replacement (based on the best week of pay in the previous year, with a higher floor and ceiling similar to QPIP). Following that period, employees who qualify for EI could continue to access parental leave aligned with that system (e.g., the remaining weeks of parental leave in the standard or flexible options at their respective rates of pay). While the mixed approach would continue some inequality in access to paid leave time and pay, it would recognize the need for wage continuity and job protection while increasing positive impacts for all children and parents.

#### This approach would:

- support a more comprehensive, child-centred view of parental leave as a benefit to support caregiving and care-receiving rather than simply as leave from work
- increase equity by eliminating many systemic exclusions of those who need the most support
- improve the policy's response to economic and other shocks
- promote coordination with complementary areas of policy, primarily the CCB and child care
- improve children's material security, health and development

Other rich countries are moving towards "mixed" systems of universal, inclusive parental leave. They are providing both

employment-based entitlements and social entitlements, with the latter offering some version of an acceptable minimum income for families with children. This has been coined the "Guaranteed Annual Income for Babies." As a universal care policy, policymakers should consider different funding options. Canada is one of the few advanced economies in which the federal/national government does not contribute to parental leave through general revenues.

An accessible, income-enhanced parental leave policy of 6 months of leave at a higher pay rate has design analogs in Canada and in other rich countries: Since 2006, new parents in Quebec have had a choice of a higher income (75% of earnings) for a shorter leave time of 25 weeks (the special plan). In 2022, the annually adjusted maximum insurable income in Québec was CAN 88 000. compared to CAN 60 300 in the rest of Canada. Access to parental leave in Quebec requires a parent to work the equivalent of 153 minimum-wage hours during the qualifying period. During the pandemic, a temporary EI change reduced the eligibility requirement from 600 to of 120 insurable hours of employment in the 52 weeks before a claim, and support was extended to seasonal workers. In Quebec. contract, gig and self-employed workers are already eligible. Low-income families can qualify under both EI and QPIP for a maximum of 80% of average insured earnings. The specific amount of benefits received depends on family net income and the number and ages of children in the family (under 18). And 13 OECD countries offer a mother with average earnings full



compensation across maternity leave. New Zealand offers a twenty-six-week paid primary-carer leave at 100% of earnings up to NZD 621.76 (CAN 519.98) a week before tax. The leave is funded through general tax revenue.

# Summary of Recommendations

- 1. Guarantee to every child the entitlement of no less than 6 months (26 weeks) of protected time with a parent or primary caregiver from birth, regardless of employment status. This should be available with a universal floor of non-taxable pay equivalent to the national average earnings.
- Ratify, implement and enforce the ILO Maternity Protection Convention, 2000 (no. 183) and associated Recommendations (R191).
- 3. Standardize and harmonize employment standards legislation across Canada to guarantee a universal entitlement to at least 26 weeks of protected parental leave time.
- Increase the flexibility of a portion of parental leave that can be taken in blocks of time, on a full-time or part-time basis, across several years of childhood.

- 5. Provide a supplement for prenatal leave, leave for multiple and premature births, leave for single parents and leave for parents of children with complex needs.
- 6. Reform federal, provincial and territorial employment standards laws to introduce a breastfeeding break up to 18 months following the birth of a child, consistent with the majority of wealthy countries.
- 7. Eliminate the practice of cutting parental leave benefits as a means debt recoupment (e.g., debt incurred through El and CERB related payments), at a time when families are in a situation of increased financial burden and decreased ability to earn income, given the potential negative impacts on infants. Review the taxation of parental leave benefits for individuals with incomes below the median.
- 8. Introduce regular monitoring of the uptake of parental leave (in addition to the receipt of parental leave benefits) and a consultation on the barriers to uptake.
- Incorporate Child Rights Impact
   Assessment as a requirement of
   the policy development process to
   help ensure children's rights and
   give greater priority to children's
   needs and interests, including
   among the goals and impacts of
   parental leave policy. The best



interests of the child should guide policy design and decisions.

### ADDITIONAL UNICEF RESOURCES

Where do Rich Countries Stand on Childcare? (2021)

Breastfeeding and Family-Friendly Policies: An evidence brief

Family-Friendly Policies: Redesigning the workplace of the future – a policy brief Paid Parental Leave and Family-Friendly

Policies: An evidence brief

Families, Family Policy and the Sustainable

**Development Goals** 

<u>UNICEF Report Card 16</u> (Canadian Companion)

https://www.unicef.org/early-childhooddevelopment/family-friendly-policies https://www.unicef-irc.org/family-friendly



### **Family-Friendly Policies**

For children, families, societies and economies to thrive, countries need "family-friendly" policies. "Family-friendly" policies typically provide time, resources and services in the critical childhood years and include income benefits, child care and parental leave. These policies support a good start for all, and lay the foundation for children's success in school, the attainment of lifelong health and the ability of children and families to exit poverty. They are also core public policies because they bring high

returns for well-being, gender equality, sustainable growth, productivity and economic advancement. "Familyfriendly" policies have features of both care policies and social protection policies. According to the ILO, care policies refer, in part, to "public policies that allocate resources in the form of money, services or time to caregivers or people who need care" and social protection policies include "policies that facilitate parents" involvement in both direct care and paid employment, such as paid maternity, paternity and parental leaves".

### **ABOUT UNICEF CANADA**

UNICEF stands for every child, everywhere. UNICEF is the world's farthest-reaching humanitarian organization for children. Across 190 countries and territories, and in the world's toughest places, we work day in and day out to defend children's human rights and a fair chance to fulfil their potential, guided by the 1989 Convention on the Rights of the Child. UNICEF Canada was founded in 1955 to fundraise for UNICEF's highest priorities and to secure the human rights of children in Canada. As part of the UN family, our ability to work neutrally with to work neutrally with governments, civil society, the private sector and young people generates results on a scale that is unparalleled. Our mission has always been for children as the highest priority regardless of race, religion or politics - and has always relied on voluntary contributions.



www.unicef.ca @UNICEFCanada